

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SEP 14 2004

In re application of
Samir Kumar BRAHMACHARI, et al.

Docket No: Q63915

Appln. No.: 09/820,843

Group Art Unit: 1631

Confirmation No.: 7045

Examiner: Carolyn L. SMITH

Filed: March 30, 2001

For: A COMPUTATIONAL METHOD FOR THE IDENTIFICATION OF CANDIDATE PROTEINS USEFUL AS ANTI-INFECTIVES

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on September 7, 2004 between attorney for Applicants Mark L. Hayman, and Examiner Smith and Primary Examiner Marscel:

REMARKS

During the interview, the following were discussed:

1. No exhibits were discussed or demonstrations conducted. However, a draft

Amendment was provided prior to the interview for the Examiner's review.

2. Claims 20-33 were discussed.

3. No prior art was discussed.

4. *Identification of principal proposed amendments:* Examiner Smith stated that the

Amendments to the claims as presented in Applicants' draft Amendment overcome the

indefiniteness rejection of claims 20-33 as well as the written description rejection of claim 33.